REPORTER'S RECORD VOLUME 3 OF 14

TRIAL COURT NO. 296-04376-07

HEATHER	DOBROTT)	IN THE	DISTRICT	COURT	OF
)				
VS.)	COLLIN	COUNTY,	TEXAS	
)				
TIMOTHY	S. DARNELL AND)				
JACK M.	WEINZIERL)	296ТН (JUDICIAL	DISTRIC	СТ

HEARING ON MOTION TO SHOW CAUSE

JANUARY 6, 2010

On the 6th day of January, 2010, the following proceedings came on to be heard in the above-entitled and numbered cause before the Honorable John R. Roach, Jr., Judge Presiding, held in Collin County, Texas:

Proceedings reported by Computerized Machine Shorthand.

```
1
   APPEARANCES:
 2
    COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
 3
         Mr. Thomas J. Foster
         SBOT No. 07299000
 4
         2701 N. Dallas Parkway, Suite 540
         Plano, Texas 75093
 5
         Phone: (972) 991-1606
 6
    DEFENDANTS APPEARING PRO SE:
         Mr. Jack M. Weinzierl
 7
         Mr. Timothy S. Darnell
    COUNSEL FOR ADVANTAGE CONFERENCES:
         T. Randall Sandifer
 9
         SBOT No. 17619710
         201A W. Main
10
         Allen, Texas 75013
         Phone: (972) 396-1118
11
    COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT HEATHER DOBROTT:
12
         Mr. Matthew J. Kita
         SBOT No. 24050883
13
         2001 Bryan Street, Suite 1800
         Dallas, Texas 75201
14
         Phone: (214) 871-2100
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

			rage 3
1	INDEX		
0		Page	Vol.
2			
2	January 6, 2010 - Volume 3		
3		4	
4	Proceedings		3
4	Argument by Mr. Kita		3
5	Response by Weinzierl		3
6	Court reporter's certificate	10	3
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

```
1
                         PROCEEDINGS
 2
                            January 6, 2010
 3
              THE COURT: Zena Karelin versus Advantage
 4
    Conferences.
 5
              MR. FOSTER: May we approach, Your Honor?
 6
              THE COURT: Yes.
 7
              All right. Good morning, Counsel. State your name
 8
    and the party you represent.
 9
              MR. SANDIFER: T. Randall Sandifer. I represent
10
    Advantage Conferences, LLC.
              MR. DARNELL: Tim Darnell, Defendant.
11
12
              THE COURT: Are you representing yourself, Mr.
13
    Darnell?
14
              MR. DARNELL: Yes, I am.
15
              MR. WEINZIERL: Jack Weinzierl representing myself.
16
              MR. KITA: Matthew Kita representing the Respondent
17
    to this motion, Heather Dobrott, who is not a party to this
18
    proceeding.
19
              THE COURT: All right.
2.0
              MR. FOSTER: Thomas Foster representing the
21
    Plaintiff, Zena Karelin.
22
              THE COURT: What are we set for today?
23
              MR. SANDIFER: Your Honor, I had a motion set for a
24
    hearing on a Motion for New Trial on Summary Judgment, but my
25
    client has filed for bankruptcy protection. I filed a notice
```

with the Court, so I think that part of the proceeding today
is stayed. And I am not involved in the rest of it.

THE COURT: Okay. What are we here on otherwise?

MR. FOSTER: Your Honor, we have Plaintiff's motion
to compel for attorney fees. And I have had conversations the
last couple of days with both defendants; and based on those
conversations, they have assured me that I'll be receiving
some documents in the next few days. So I am going to pass
that motion; and if I need to, I will bring it back next week
and I won't waste the Court's time.

THE COURT: So what else are we here on?

12 MR. KITA: Your Honor, if I may, Defendant, Mr.

Weinzierl, has filed a motion to show cause why Heather

Dobrott should not be found in contempt. You may want to get

into the substance of the motions beforehand. On behalf of

Ms. Dobrott, I just have a couple of procedural issues that I

think we should consider.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KITA: The first is that the rules plainly require that when a nonparty is served with a motion for contempt, it either has to come from the Court with an order to show cause or it has to be personally served in accordance with Rule 21 to establish jurisdiction over Ms. Dobrott. Mr. Weinzierl here mailed Ms. Dobrott a copy one week ago today.

Second, even if this court would ignore the problems

with service, due process requires at least ten days advance notice of a hearing. And that was again, one week, not even including the fact that we had a holiday weekend. And then finally, Your Honor, before a hearing can commence and get a show cause order, the Defendant -- or the Movant in this case would have to file a specific statement identifying specific instances of allegedly contemptible conduct.

2.2

Here we have a conclusary affidavit and a dozen or so pages of hearsay evidence that are completely unauthenticated, undated, non-proven up in any respect; and therefore, Defendants aren't entitled to have an evidentiary hearing in the Court's presence before they've submitted the necessary evidence accordingly.

THE COURT: All right. I can hold her in contempt, though, and not put her in jail without being personally served; do you agree with that?

MR. KITA: I think it would depend, Your Honor. I mean if the allegedly contemptible conduct occurred in your presence, then yes. If it occurred outside your presence, then you would need some evidence coming from the Movant in order to --

THE COURT: I am not talking about the evidence. I am just saying from a notice standpoint, you don't have to be personally served. She didn't have counsel when she was served, though, right?

1 MR. KITA: No. 2 THE COURT: So you weren't served with it either? 3 I was notified of this on Monday morning MR. KITA: 4 after the New Year. 5 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Weinzierl. 6 MR. WEINZIERL: Sir, I filed -- I filed because it 7 was connected with the motion to compel that Mr. Foster had 8 served on us on the 26th, just four days prior to me sending out this motion. And in that, we -- his motion to compel 10 production, in that, we had made an argument or we had made 11 our case or our reason for not producing yet, because the 12 temporary injunction, as well as the protective order, had not 13 been in place yet. 14 THE COURT: Right, right. 15 MR. WEINZIERL: So we addressed that in our response 16 to his motion to compel. I believe he wanted to delay the 17 motion to compel this morning, Your Honor, because I believe 18 he thinks that this is grounds for dismissing this case per 19 our November 30th hearing. 20 THE COURT: What is grounds for dismissing this 21 case, the contempt part? 22 MR. WEINZIERL: The contempt part. But you had 23 stated on November 30th that Ms. Karelin would be responsible 24 for Ms. Dobrott's posting. So whether we have to reset that 25 for a hearing, Ms. Dobrott -- or Ms. Karelin still has a

responsibility for forty-plus posts that violate the --

THE COURT: Let's go back. Before we get to the substance of it, we have to address procedural issues. And that's the disadvantage I've told y'all over and over again that you have in this court is that you don't understand the procedural issues that must be met before we can get to motions a lot, outside of any evidence you have about the merits. We don't get there unless we hit the procedural requirements.

The procedural requirements to hold somebody in contempt do require personal service of the notice of hearing or show cause notice. And since you are not a licensed attorney, it has to come from the Court, okay? An officer of the Court. Any of the lawyers in here can sign that as notice; but you can't, okay? And so we are fatally flawed for proceeding on a motion for contempt because she did not get adequate notice, number one.

Number two is she didn't get ten days notice. You have to understand the reason why we have heightened requirements for that is because you are asking me to throw her in jail, and so we call that a quasi-criminal matter that requires due process, as Mr. Kita had said. So we are not going to address that point, okay?

The case will not be dismissed either because of a motion for sanctions on her -- or by a motion by contempt.

That would be more of a sanction under Rule 13 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. And so you have not pled sanctions, 3 dismissal, or the Court has several things it can do. It can strike pleadings, it can strike a lot of things in the case, penalize somebody for violating the Court's order or doing 5 things frivolously, or whatever it finds to be contemptible. 7 Or the last resort would be to dismiss the case, but that has to come under a motion for sanctions and it has to lay out 8 9 specifically what that sanctionable conduct is, okay? 10 And so for all of those reasons, we are not going to 11 hear that motion today. 12 MR. WEINZIERL: Okay. Your Honor, how do I approach 13 the Court or the clerk for going through the proper process? 14 THE COURT: Well, neither the Court nor the clerks 15 can give you any legal advice, okay? Now, I thought you were 16 about to ask me how you could get my signature on notice or 17 something. You can do that through the clerk's office. 18 will bring me the file. But as far as how to do it, I have 19 given you too much information, probably, already. 20 MR. WEINZIERL: Your Honor, I have yet to meet the 21 Plaintiff after four-plus years. And she has had the luxury 22 of kind of sitting back in California. Can we request that 23 she attends these hearings? 24 THE COURT: Well, she doesn't have to attend unless 25 she is subpoenaed to be here, ordered by the Court to be

```
But I can't -- or she has a motion she has to put on
   here.
   evidence for so you can cross examine her. I don't think we
 3
   have had any of those hearings that would require her
   presence.
 5
              MR. WEINZIERL:
                              Okay.
 6
              THE COURT: But you can subpoena her, too. But you
7
   probably have to have the Court's signature on a subpoena
8
   also, or lawyers can subpoena people directly. You could also
    take her deposition and notice her deposition, which would
10
   have to take place in Collin County.
11
              MR. FOSTER: Your Honor, also, I remind the Court,
   Ms. Karelin lives in California.
12
13
              THE COURT: Yes. And -- well, yeah, but if she is
14
    subpoenaed to be here -- she is the Plaintiff in the case, she
15
   picked this forum to be in; and we do things here in this
16
           He wouldn't have to go to California to take her
17
   deposition, for example.
18
              MR. WEINZIERL: I have never met her and was not
19
    involved in the --
20
              THE COURT: I have told you how that works.
21
              Any other pending motions before the Court?
22
              MR. KITA: May I ask one question for
23
    clarification?
24
              THE COURT: Sure.
25
              MR. KITA: Your Honor, if another party in this case
```

files a motion for a show cause hearing, does this court have a procedure whereby it would hear -- or consider her response 3 for a motion to show cause before setting a date for a hearing? For example, if he says I would like a show cause hearing and I say you are not entitled to one for the 5 following reasons, can we do that without forcing us all 7 coming together again and object on procedural grounds? 8 THE COURT: That's how we do it; otherwise, I have 9 to plug in every case and say this motion has merit or this 10 motion has merit. I am making a pre-determination with regard 11 to the procedural requirements before we have a hearing on it. 12 MR. KITA: Respectfully, Your Honor, for a motion to 13 contempt, the Movant is required to lay out certain grounds 14 with specificity; but he can't get the hearing if he hasn't 15 laid it out. I guess that's my --16 THE COURT: Well, I don't have the luxury with the 17 1,600 cases that I have to review everything that's filed to 18 make sure it met the procedural requirements. So that's why 19 we have an adversarial process and so -- and then I would have 20 to bring them up or you would have to bring them up and I 21 would have to read them. But I think I am making 22 predeterminations on cases before hearing, even if I haven't 23 had a hearing. 24 Now, if he continues not to meet the legal 25 requirements that need to be made, the proper response would

```
1
   be a motion for sanctions and you can charge for your
   attorney's fees for having to show up because he didn't follow
 3
    the procedural requirements. If he did it again, I would be
   very mindful of that and go, Mr. Weinzierl, you didn't meet
   them again and you keep dragging these lawyers up, they are
 5
   having to bill time; and I am going to pop him for it.
7
              MR. KITA:
                         Thank you.
 8
              THE COURT: I think that's the proper way to do it.
 9
              MR. WEINZIERL: And, Your Honor, before we leave, it
   was an emergency for me because I am still out of employment.
10
11
   Those forty posts are showing up on page one under Google all
12
   over the internet for the world to see after you were very
13
   clear --
14
              THE COURT: I was clear -- I was crystal clear to
15
    the Plaintiff's lawyer about this; that I wasn't going to
16
    tolerate it anymore.
17
              MR. WEINZIERL: So I can still proceed --
18
              THE COURT: Listen to me. If I am talking, stop
19
    talking.
20
              And I was very clear to your client how I expected
21
    things to go. But the person who is going to pay the price is
22
    the Plaintiff in this case if this continues, if what you are
23
   saying is true; and I have no idea whether it is or not. And,
24
   by the way, after we get over the procedural requirements,
25
    there is a lot of evidentiary requirements, meaning that you
```

just can't put posts up. Someone has to testify to their authenticity or their origins and all of that kind of stuff. So it is a burden. Not to these lawyers because they do it 3 every day, but it will be for someone who is pro se. excluding you, I am not telling you you can't have it heard; 5 but you just have not had the procedural requirements met to 7 have it heard today, okay? 8 What did you need? 9 MR. FOSTER: Your Honor, with regard to our motion 10 to compel and the Defendants producing documents, the fact 11 that we are rescheduling this hearing on the motion to show 12 cause should not have any effect on their producing documents. 13 THE COURT: No. 14 MR. FOSTER: The protective order is now in place. 15 They shouldn't have any reason not to produce documents, 16 should they? 17 THE COURT: Those are two separate issues. Whether 18 someone is violating my court order is one issue, and then the 19 case and how it progresses and the proper rules, or following 20 of the rules under the discovery rules still -- they are what 21 they are. They are not affected -- one is not affected by the 22 other. 23 Okay. Anything else? And so -- but I will tell 24 you, this case is stayed anyway. Don't you come back here 25 because it is stayed because of a bankruptcy, okay?

```
1
              If someone wants to file a motion to sever the case
   and have Advantage Conferences out of this case --
 3
              MR. SANDIFER: You have actually already entered an
 4
   order of severance.
 5
              THE COURT: So why are you here?
 6
              MR. SANDIFER:
                             Well, I filed the motion --
 7
              THE COURT: Not that I don't like to see you, but
8
   why are you here?
9
              MR. SANDIFER: When I filed the motion, the clerk
   wasn't clear; the paperwork hadn't been done, apparently. So
10
11
   they filed it in this case. But I found out on the same day
12
   you entered the summary judgment, you actually severed
13
   Advantage Conferences into a separate cause number. So I
14
   filed a notice of bankruptcy in both cases so I would make
15
    sure it got to the right place.
16
              MR. FOSTER: But the motion for new trial was filed
17
    in the original proceeding, not in the severed case.
18
              THE COURT:
                          That's okay. The way the proper
19
   severance order should read, it should tell the clerk what
20
   portions of the original file should be transferred into the
21
   new file, okay?
2.2
              MR. SANDIFER:
                             I don't think it actually does that.
23
              MR. FOSTER: I think it said --
24
              THE COURT: You have to send a letter over here and
25
    say this is what I need over here.
```

```
1
              MR. WEINZIERL: If the severance took place after
 2
    the bankruptcy filing --
 3
              THE COURT: Yes, it does.
 4
              MR. WEINZIERL: -- would it be stayed?
 5
              THE COURT: Okay.
                                 What?
 6
              MR. WEINZIERL: If the severed -- if the agreement
 7
    or agreed order for severing occurred after the bankruptcy
 8
    filing, when he filed, then it would be stayed?
 9
              THE COURT: No. Once I have severed the bankrupt
10
    person out, the underlying case, this case, continues.
11
              MR. WEINZIERL: Even if the bankruptcy was filed
12
    prior to the severing?
13
              THE COURT: Yeah, because it is just to the party;
14
    it is not the case. So the person who filed bankruptcy, the
15
    party who filed bankruptcy has the opportunity to have their
16
    case stayed, but not everybody else. Everybody else can't
17
    just piggyback up on that. So that's why we sever it out and
18
    so they are over there and they are stayed, but we can
19
    continue on with our case unless somebody else files
20
    bankruptcy.
21
              MR. WEINZIERL: I don't want to, Your Honor.
22
              THE COURT: Well, I don't want anybody to have to
23
    either; but that's how it would work. Does that answer
24
    everybody's question?
25
                           Our case is not stayed.
              MR. FOSTER:
```

```
THE COURT: Apparently, it is not stayed. I didn't
 1
   know I was ahead of the game, and I was wondering why he was
 3
   here. But --
              MR. FOSTER: What happened was, the summary judgment
 4
 5
   was granted. At the same time the judge signed the -- you
   signed the summary judgment, you signed an order of
7
   severance. And then the case, on the 30th day, they filed a
 8
   motion for new trial but filed it in the original case, not in
9
    the severed case.
10
              THE COURT: Well, he is stayed no matter what.
11
              MR. SANDIFER:
                            Right.
12
              THE COURT: Because whatever has happened in this
13
   case was stayed because of the bankruptcy. And in an
14
   abundance of caution, he filed a Notice of Bankruptcy in
15
   both. So I am not proceeding, just for the record, I am not
16
   proceeding at all in this case, Cause Number 4376-07, against
17
   Advantage Conferences. We are okay.
18
              MR. FOSTER: Okay. So in this case against Mr.
19
   Weinzierl and Mr. Darnell, we are not stayed?
20
              THE COURT: We are not stayed. We are not stayed.
21
              Okay. Anybody have anything else?
22
              MR. DARNELL: In request for production from the
23
   Plaintiff regarding Advantage Conferences' materials, do I
24
   need to present that?
25
              THE COURT: Well, I am giving y'all legal advice,
```

```
1
    and I don't know why because I am not charging you by the hour
    and I should be. If you have documents in your care, custody
 3
    or control that were responsive to his request, you have to
 4
    comply with it, okay? That's the rule.
 5
              All right. Anything else?
 6
              Yes, sir.
 7
              MR. WEINZIERL: In terms of validating or
 8
    authenticating --
 9
              THE COURT: Your parking pass? What?
10
              MR. WEINZIERL: I need to have a witness available?
11
              THE COURT: Validating what?
12
              MR. WEINZIERL: Validating --
13
              THE COURT: The posts?
14
              MR. WEINZIERL: Any of the posts.
15
              THE COURT: Yeah, you need to do some legal research
16
    and quit talking the rules according to Roach, all right?
17
              MR. WEINZIERL: I have many 3:00 a.m. mornings.
18
              Thank you.
19
              (Proceedings concluded).
2.0
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
    THE STATE OF TEXAS
                         )
    COUNTY OF COLLIN
 2
                         )
 3
 4
              I, Janet L. Dugger, Official Court Reporter in and
 5
    for the 296th District Court of Collin County, State of Texas,
    do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true
 6
 7
    and correct transcript of all portions of evidence and other
    proceedings requested in writing by counsel for the parties to
 8
9
    be included in this volume of the Reporter's Record, in the
10
    above-styled and numbered cause, all of which occurred in open
11
    court or in chambers and were reported by me.
12
              I further certify that this Reporter's Record of the
13
    proceedings truly and correctly reflects the exhibits, if any,
14
    offered by the respective parties.
15
              WITNESS MY OFFICIAL HAND this the 22nd
16
17
    day of March, 2011.
18
19
                                        /s/
20
                        Janet L. Dugger, Texas CSR #2575
21
                        Expiration Date:
                                          12-31-12
                        Official Court Reporter
22
                        296th District Court
                        Collin County, Texas
23
                        2100 Bloomdale Road
                        McKinney, Texas 75071
24
                        Phone: 972/548-4407
25
```