REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES TO

PROJECT DEVELOPMENT COSTS IN A

SUPPLEMENTAL REIMBURSEMENT TO

GLOBAL EARTHQUEST VENTURES, LP (DEVELOPER)

FROM PROCEEDS OF THE SERIES 2009 BOND SALE

JUNE 16, 2009

REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES TO

PROJECT DEVELOPMENT COSTS IN A

SUPPLEMENTAL REIMBURSEMENT TO

GLOBAL EARTHQUEST VENTURES, LP (DEVELOPER)

FROM PROCEEDS OF THE SERIES 2009 BOND SALE

JUNE 16, 2009

### $\underline{ T \ A \ B \ L \ E \quad O \ F \quad C \ O \ N \ T \ E \ N \ T \ S }$

	SCHEDULE
INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES	
SCHEDULE OF PROJECT DEVELOPMENT COSTS REIMBURSEMENT TO GLOBAL EARTHQUEST VENTURES, LP (DEVELOPER)	A
COMPARISON OF ACTUAL REIMBURSABLE COSTS WITH PROJECT ESTIMATES	В

### McCALL GIBSON SWEDLUND BARFOOT PLLC

Certified Public Accountants

13100 Wortham Center Drive Suite 235 Houston, Texas 77065-5610 (713) 462-0341 Fax (713) 462-2708 E-Mail: mgsb@ngsbpllc.com 7801 N. Capital of Texas Hwy. Suite 350 Austin, Texas 78731-1169 (512) 418-2358 Fax: (512) 340-0604 www.ngsbpllc.com

June 16, 2009

Board of Directors
East Montgomery County
Improvement District
Montgomery County, Texas

Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Board of Directors of East Montgomery County Improvement District (the "District"), on the invoices and schedules submitted by Global Earthquest Ventures, LP (the "Developer") for supplemental payment from proceeds of the District's Series 2009 bonds. These procedures were performed solely to assist you in evaluating the reasonableness of those costs, and the report is not to be used for any other purpose.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the Board of Directors of the District. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. The procedures performed are summarized as follows:

- A. We reviewed the use of proceeds schedule as prepared by the District's Financial Advisor for the bond issue. Our review was for the purpose of identifying those items authorized for District participation by the agreement and those items the District is specifically prohibited from purchasing.
- B. We reviewed for completeness certain Developer schedules, supporting invoices and contract estimates in substantiation of the costs to be reimbursed. Our review included all documentation supporting items, amounts and categories for which reimbursement is requested.
- C. We read the development and financing agreements for particular items that might affect the reimbursement. The agreements reviewed are referenced in our report.

- D. We reviewed payments with check copies and compared them to contract amounts submitted for consultants, and reviewed detail for expenses they submitted. We received confirmations from banks on the major contracts.
- E. A draft of this report was provided to the District's Attorney, Engineer, Financial Advisor, Bookkeeper, and Developer for their review and comments.
- F. We prepared this agreed-upon procedures report for the benefit of the District, which includes the Accountant's Report, schedule of amounts reimbursable to the Developer and a schedule summarizing the costs to be funded from the bond proceeds by category.

The attached Schedule A titled "Schedule of Project Development Costs Reimbursable to Global Earthquest Ventures, LP (Developer)," sets forth their reimbursable costs. A Memorandum of Understanding was executed on February 22, 2008 by and among the District, the For-Profit (FP) entity and the Not-For-Profit (NFP) entity. This reimbursement is in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Amended and Restated Agreement Regarding FP Pre-Development Expenses dated October 13, 2008, as amended by that First Amendment to Amended and Restated Agreement Regarding FP Pre-Development Expenses dated March 9, 2009 and that Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Agreement Regarding FP Pre-Development Expenses dated May 14, 2009 and that Third Amendment to Amended and Restated Agreement Regarding FP Pre-Development Expenses dated June 16, 2009 between Global Earthquest Ventures, LP and the District.

After our original report dated April 9, 2009, Global Earthquest Ventures, LP submitted additional invoices for supplemental payment under the agreement for reimbursement in the amount of \$1,373,433.68 plus an advance in the amount of \$300,000 for a total of \$1,673,433.68. We have revised the schedule to a total reimbursable amount of \$1,383,036.86.

The following changes have been made to the Developer's original schedule:

- A. We deducted dues, banquet and political contributions totaling \$4,750 because they were not reimbursable pre-development expenses in accordance with the agreement
- B. A state fee for change of registered agent was deducted in the amount of \$15 because it did not qualify as a District expense.
- C. We deducted \$188,500 for a licensing agreement for which proof of payment was not provided.
- D. Some portions of certain engineering invoices contained costs which were allocable to other districts. We deducted \$97,131.82, a portion of which may be reimbursable in future reimbursements after allocations are submitted.

The attached Schedule B reflects the amount of bond proceeds received, the amount being spent to date by category and the projected costs to be funded in the future. In addition to the bond amount, certain costs were submitted in substantiation of the original \$650,000 advanced by the Developer. The District repaid \$350,000. This payment of \$350,000, added to the bond proceeds, totals the \$6,000,000 in the Development Agreement.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the aforementioned reimbursable costs. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Directors and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than this specified party. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited. This report should not be associated with the presentation of any financial data of the District except to comply with filing requirements as specified by the agreements.

Moall Cilon Swellend Bongost PLLC

McCALL GIBSON SWEDLUND BARFOOT PLLC Certified Public Accountants

2009 SUPPLEMENTAL BOND REPORT

SCHEDULE OF PROJECT DEVELOPMENT COSTS REIMBURSEMENT TO GLOBAL EARTHQUEST VENTURES, LP (DEVELOPER) JUNE 16, 2009

DESCRIPTION	TOTAL REIMBURSABLE COST		
21 Sports & Entertainment Marketing Group, Inc. Research Sponsorship Programs			
Amount Paid by Developer	\$	62,500.00	
The Blair Law Firm, P.C.  Legal Consultation  EarthQuest Promotion			
Amount Paid by Developer		50,000.00	
J. Boudreau & Company, LLC Financial Consulting and Expenses Development of Financial Model			
Amount Paid by Developer		24,202.18	
Callison, LLC  Contract Fees and Travel Expenses  Design and Site Development Planning Meetings			
Amount Paid by Developer		39,779.15	
Contour Entertainment, Inc. Concept Planning, Master Plan and Financial Feasibility			
Amount Paid by Developer		66,400.00	
Don Allen Holbrook, LLC  Consulting and Performance Fee Secure Incentives and Inducements Related to Locating the Project On the Site in East Montgomery County			
Amount Paid by Developer		500,000.00	

SCHEDULE OF PROJECT DEVELOPMENT COSTS REIMBURSEMENT TO GLOBAL EARTHQUEST VENTURES, LP (DEVELOPER) JUNE 16, 2009

DESCRIPTION	TOTAL REIMBURSABLE COST		
Fed Ex			
Deliveries			
Amount Paid by Developer	\$	449.12	
Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell, LLP			
Legal Fees for Agreements, Government Relations Activities			
Government Relations Activities			
Amount Paid by Developer		68,483.56	
Meteor Couriers			
Courier Service			
Amount Paid by Developer		15.08	
Millions of Us LLC			
Progress Billing on Virtual Website			
Amount Paid by Developer		53,694.72	
•		,	
Pepperwood Partners			
Investment Banking Consulting Services			
Amount Paid by Developer		15,000.00	
		15,000.00	
Perryman Group			
EarthQuest Economic Analysis			
Construction Cost Index Study and			
Economic and Market Forecast Study			
Amount Paid by Developer		68,213.86	

SCHEDULE OF PROJECT DEVELOPMENT COSTS REIMBURSEMENT TO GLOBAL EARTHQUEST VENTURES, LP (DEVELOPER) JUNE 16, 2009

DESCRIPTION	<del>_</del>		TOTAL REIMBURSABLE COST		
Sloan Consulting Consulting - Marketing and Project Position	ing				
Amount Paid by Developer			\$	1,875.00	
TBG Partners					
Land Planning Services					
Amount Paid by Developer				58,124.82	
Trainor Law Firm, P.C. Texas Government Relations Representation					
Amount Paid by Developer				30,000.00	
TriStar Ventures, LLC					
Project Management, Administrative, and Financial Services					
Amount Paid by Developer				35,700.00	
Marlin Atlantis White, Ltd. Travel					
Travel Expenses, including					
Airfare, Auto, Lodging Meals					
Amount Paid by Developer	\$	9,099.37			
Less: Amount Not Reimbursable		500.00		8,599.37	

SCHEDULE OF PROJECT DEVELOPMENT COSTS REIMBURSEMENT TO GLOBAL EARTHQUEST VENTURES, LP (DEVELOPER) JUNE 16, 2009

DESCRIPTION	_		RE	TOTAL IMBURSABLE COST
East Montgomery County Improvement District Advance on Development Expenses				
Amount Paid by Developer	\$	650,000.00		
Less: Amount Reimbursed by District		350,000.00	_\$	300,000.00
TOTAL REIMBURSABLE TO GLOBAL EARTHQUEST VENTURES, LP				
AT JUNE 16, 2009			\$	1,383,036.86

SCHEDULE COMPARING ACTUAL REIMBURSABLE COSTS WITH PROJECT ESTIMATES JUNE 16, 2009

DESCRIPTION	PROJECT ESTIMATE (1)		REIMBURSED TO GLOBAL EARTHQUEST VENTURES, LP AT BOND CLOSING ON MAY 21, 2009		REIMBURSABLE TO GLOBAL EARTHQUEST VENTURES, LP AT JUNE 16, 2009	
PROJECT COSTS:						
Construction Fund for Project Costs (2)	\$	5,650,000	\$	3,314,486	\$	1,383,037
TOTAL PROJECT COSTS	_\$	5,650,000	\$	3,314,486	\$	1,383,037
BOND COSTS:						
Costs of Issuance Interest Costs Capitalized Interest (1) Debt Service Reserve Fund	\$	513,620 568,757 610,843	\$	-	\$	-
Bond Discount		291,780				
TOTAL BOND COSTS	<u>\$</u>	1,985,000		<u></u>	\$	<u> </u>
TOTAL	<u>\$</u>	7,635,000	\$	3,314,486	\$	1,383,037
(1) Project Estimate as submitted and as amended in the Official Statement.						
(2) Projects by Agreement Categories:			A	mount by Category	A	mount by Category
Planning and Design				1,800,288		367,185
Financial Package Development				125,250		107,415
Site Layouts Consulting Fees				41,373		39,779
Legal Fees				403,544 444,031		718,299
Overhead and Payroll				500,000		150,359
O TOTAL DESIGNATION OF THE PARTY OF THE PART				3,314,486		1,383,037

COSTS PAID BY DISTRICT	Al	ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL COST TO COMPLETE		TOTAL  ACTUAL AND ACTUAL ESTIMATED OVER ADDITIONAL (UNDER) COST TO COMPLETE ESTIMATE		ACTUAL AND ACTUAL ESTIMATED OVER ADDITIONAL (UNDER)		% VARIANCE OVER (UNDER) ESTIMATE
\$ <u></u>	_\$	952,477	\$	5,650,000	\$		0.00%	
\$ •	_\$	952,477	\$	5,650,000	\$			
\$ 435,475	\$	78,145	\$	513,620	\$		0.00%	
 568,757 610,843 291,790				568,757 610,843 291,790	-	- - 10	0,00% 0,00% 0.00%	
\$ 1,906,865	\$	78,145	_\$	1,985,010	\$	10		
\$ 1,906,865	\$	1,030,622	\$	7,635,010	\$	10		